

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/973,498	CHAUDHURY ET AL.	
	Examiner John T. Haran	Art Unit 1733	

All Participants:

(1) John T. Haran.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Catherine Brown.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

26

Prior art documents discussed:

Chen (5,019,210)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to amend claim 26 to read that the plasma treatment of the adherend is for a period greater than 30 seconds and up to about 30 minutes because as previously worded it read on both the adherend and material being treated for up to 30 seconds, which one of ordinary skill in the art would have understood Chen to teach (Column 4, lines 44-46).